Appendix A - EIA

Initial equality impact assessment screening form

This form records an equality screening process to determine the relevance of equality to a proposal, and a decision whether or not a full EIA would be appropriate or proportionate.

Directorate	Community Development Directorate			
Service area	Economic Development, Regeneration, Skills and			
	Tourism			
Proposal being screened	Catterick Garrison Levelling Up Fund Round Two full grant			
	funding approval is requested to enable project delivery.			
Officer(s) carrying out screening	Ann Stewart			
What are you proposing to do?	The report seeks approval of Catterick Garrison LUF Round two grant funding to enable construction and community development contract awards and subsequent build on site.			
Why are you proposing this? What are the	This is required to comply with grant acceptance			
desired outcomes?	procedures.			
Does the proposal involve a significant	The grant offer is £19,008,679 with match contribution			
commitment or removal of resources?	from the former Richmondshire District Council of up to			
Please give details.	£100,000 and an agreed additional match funding element			
	from North Yorkshire Council Capital Reserves of			
	£282,075.			

Impact on people with any of the following protected characteristics as defined by the Equality Act 2010, or NYCC's additional agreed characteristics

As part of this assessment, please consider the following questions:

- To what extent is this service used by particular groups of people with protected characteristics?
- Does the proposal relate to functions that previous consultation has identified as important?
- Do different groups have different needs or experiences in the area the proposal relates to?

If for any characteristic it is considered that there is likely to be an adverse impact or you have ticked 'Don't know/no info available', then a full EIA should be carried out where this is proportionate. You are advised to speak to your <u>Equality rep</u> for advice if you are in any doubt.

Protected characteristic	Potential for adverse impact		Don't know/No
	Yes	No	info available
Age		X	
Disability		X	
Sex		Х	
Race		X	
Sexual orientation		Х	
Gender reassignment		Х	
Religion or belief		Х	
Pregnancy or maternity		X	
Marriage or civil partnership		Х	
NYC additional characteristics	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
People in rural areas		Х	
People on a low income		Х	
Carer (unpaid family or friend)		Х	
Does the proposal relate to an area where	No howeve	er there are areas	of significant health
there are known inequalities/probable	concerns in	terms of obesity and	in activity. This project
impacts (e.g. disabled people's access to	will help	to alleviate these	through provision o
public transport)? Please give details.			

Will the proposal have a significant effect on how other organisations operate? (e.g. partners, funding criteria, etc.). Do any of these organisations support people with protected characteristics? Please explain why you have reached this conclusion.	improvements in active travel (cycle ways) and activities in Coronation Park. Yes, as part of the initial community consultation neighbouring groups working with people with protected characteristics were consulted. This provision will support people with disabilities with new community facilities such as a changing place and community garden and provide a centre and activities where the community can come together.		
Decision (Please tick one option)	EIA not Continue to full FIA: proportionate:		
Reason for decision	This is a report seeking approval to accept the full grant funding offer from DLUHC. There are no negative impacts on people with protected characteristics and the project will support people with protected characteristics in many ways		
Signed (Assistant Director or equivalent)	Dave Caulfield, Assistant Director – Economic Development, Regeneration, Skills and Tourism Dave Caulfield		
Date	25/04/23		